

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/882,274	06/15/2001	Alex B. Burgin JR.	P-RD 4806	7878
23601	7590 11/03/2003		EXAMINER	
	L & FLORES LLP	LU, FRANK WEI MIN		
4370 LA JOI 7TH FLOOR	LLA VILLAGE DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN DIEGO), CA 92122		1634	
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		BURGIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	09/882,274 Examiner	Art Unit				
	Frank W Lu	1634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period work. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J	<u>anuary 2003</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/882,274 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's response to the office action filed on July 23, 2003 has been entered. The claims pending in this application are claims 1-16. Rejection and/or objection not reiterated from the previous office action are hereby withdrawn in view of amendment filed on July 23, 2003.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informality: "at one or more terminal ends" should be "at its one or more ends".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-4 and 6-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected as vague and indefinite. Since the claim is directed to a method of non-enzymatic ligation of a nucleic acid and there is no ligation step in the content of the claim, the goal of the method can not be reached. Applicant is required to add a ligation step into the claim in order to overcome the rejection. Please clarify.

Art Unit: 1634

6. Claim 5 is rejected as vague and indefinite in view of preamble of the claim and step (b) of the claim. Since the claim is directed to a method of generating a polynucleotide product and last step of the claim (step (b) is a transducing step, the goal of the method and the last method step does not correspond each other. Please clarify.

- 7. Claim 6 is rejected as vague and indefinite. Although step (a) of the claim is directed to produce a polynucleotide-3' phosphorothiolate, there is no step for forming polynucleotide-3' phosphorothiolate in step (a) of the claim. The phrase "to produce polynucleotide-3' phosphorothiolate" is not considered as a step for generating a vector and is considered as a purpose. Although the claim is directed to non-enzymatic ligation of a nucleic acid, there is no non-enzymatic ligation step in the claim. Please clarify.
- 8. Claim 8 is rejected as vague and indefinite. Although the claim is directed to a method of molecular cloning, there is no step for generating a vector. The phrase "to generate a vector" is not considered as a step for generating a vector and is considered as a purpose. Furthermore, it is unclear that "an insert polynucleotide" in a vector is different from "an insert" in line 2 of the claim or not. Please clarify.
- 9. Claim 9 is rejected as vague and indefinite in view of the phrase "said vector comprising an insert polynucleotide" because it is unclear that "an insert polynucleotide" in claim 9 is the same as "an insert polynucleotide" in claim 8. If "an insert polynucleotide" in claim 9 is the same as "an insert polynucleotide" in claim 8, applicant is required to change "said vector comprising an insert polynucleotide" to "said vector comprising the insert polynucleotide" in order to overcome the rejection. Please clarify.

Application/Control Number: 09/882,274 Page 4

Art Unit: 1634

10. Claim 11 is rejected as vague and indefinite. Although the claim is directed to a method of molecular cloning, there is no cloning step in the content of the claim. Although step (a) of the claim is directed to produce a polynucleotide-3' phosphorothiolate, there is no step for forming polynucleotide-3' phosphorothiolate in step (a) of the claim. Although step (b) of the claim is directed to generate a vector, there is no step for generating a vector. The phrase "to generate a vector" is not considered as a step for generating a vector and is considered as a purpose.

Furthermore, it is unclear that "an insert polynucleotide" in a vector is different from "an insert" in line 7 of the claim or not. Please clarify.

- 11. Claim 12 is rejected as vague and indefinite. Although the claim is directed to a method of molecular cloning, there is no cloning step in the content of the claim. Although the claim is directed to generate a vector, there is no step for generating a vector. The phrase "to generate a vector" is not considered as a step for generating a vector and is considered as a purpose. It is also unclear whether a vector comprising said acceptor polynucleotide has said polynucleotide-3' phosphorothiolate or not. Please clarify.
- 12. Claim 15 is rejected as vague and indefinite. Since claims 12 and 15 do not specify that said vector is linear, it is possible that said vector is circular. If said vector is circular, the vector is no terminal end which is opposite to claim 15. Therefore, in this situation, the phrase "one or more terminal ends" in the claim lacks insufficient antecedent basis. Please clarify.
- 13. Claim 16 is rejected as vague and indefinite. Although step (a) is directed to generate a polynucleotide-3' phosphorothiolate, there is no step for forming polynucleotide-3' phosphorothiolate. The phrase "to produce polynucleotide-3' phosphorothiolate" is not

Art Unit: 1634

considered as a step for generating a vector and is considered as a purpose. Furthermore, although step (b) is directed to generate a vector, there is no step for generating a vector. The phrase "to generate a vector" is not considered as a step for generating a vector and is considered as a purpose. It is also unclear whether a vector comprising said acceptor polynucleotide has said polynucleotide-3' phosphorothiolate or not. Please clarify.

Conclusion

- 14. No claim is allowed.
- 15. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CAR § 1.6(d)). The CM Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Lu, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-1270. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9 A.M. to 5 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152.

Application/Control Number: 09/882,274 Page 6

Art Unit: 1634

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Chemical Matrix receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Frank Lu

Sul in

PSA

October 29, 2003